Application No.: 10/633,825

Response to Office Action of February 26, 2004

Attorney Docket: NORTH-493A

REMARKS

These remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed February 26, 2004 in the above-mentioned patent application.

I. Rejection of Claims 1, 9, 19, and 20

Claims 1, 9, 19, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite and unclear with regard to the phrase, "a plurality of moving target indication data". Examiner stated, "The phrase is not clear as to what the 'plurality' is. For example, does this phrase mean moving target indication data for a plurality of targets? Or, does it mean a plurality of sets of moving target indication data for a single target? Or, does it mean something different than these two examples?"

Applicant has rewritten claims 1, 9, 19, and 20 such that the phrase in question now reads, "a plurality of indication data of at least one moving target". The amended phrase indicates the plurality applies to the indication data. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 9, 19, and 20, as amended, should be allowable.

II. Rejection of Claim 8

Claim 8 was rejected as being indefinite and unclear because it depended on itself.

Applicant has rewritten claim 8 so that it is dependent on independent claim 1. In

addition, applicant has changed the verb "travels" to become "travel" in order to match the subject of the element "entities" which is plural. Applicant respectfully submits that claim 8, as amended, should be allowable.

III. Rejection of Claims 2-7, 10-18, and 21-25

Claims 2-7, 10-18, and 21-25 were rejected as being unclear in that they depended from unclear independent claims. Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 9, 19, and 20, as amended, should now be allowable. According, Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 2-7, 10-18, and 21-25 should therefore also be allowable.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the Amendments and Remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all outstanding rejections in the above-mentioned patent application have been overcome

Application No.: 10/633,825

Response to Office Action of February 26, 2004

Attorney Docket: NORTH-493A

and therefore request that such rejections be withdrawn. According, Applicant requests reconsideration of presently pending claims 1-25.

Should the Examiner have any suggestions for expediting allowance of the application, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the number listed below.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 19-4330.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 19, 2004 By:

Bruce B. Brunda

Registration No. 28,497

STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER

75 Enterprise, Suite 250

Aliso Viejo, California 92656 Telephone: (949) 855-1246

T:\Client Documents\NORTH\493a\Amendment.doc